


Minutes of the  
EOSC Association AISBL  
11th General Assembly Meeting  
Online, 10 October 2025  
[Released 13/11/2025]

<b>Date/Time:</b>	<b>10 October 2025</b> 08:00-10:00 CET (registration, NO LATE REGISTRATION IS POSSIBLE) 10:00-13:00 CET (General Assembly)
<b>Location:</b>	Online Meeting via Zoom: <a href="https://eosc-eu.zoom.us/j/86241256754">https://eosc-eu.zoom.us/j/86241256754</a>
<b>Chair:</b>	[KT] Klaus Tochtermann (EOSC-A) <a href="mailto:Klaus.Tochtermann@eosc.eu">Klaus.Tochtermann@eosc.eu</a>
<b>Secretary:</b>	[UG] Ute Gunsenheimer (EOSC-A) <a href="mailto:Ute.Gunsenheimer@eosc.eu">Ute.Gunsenheimer@eosc.eu</a>
<b>Invited Guests:</b>	Representatives of the European Commission (EC) and the EOSC Steering Board (EOSC-SB)
<b>Delegates and Observer Representatives:</b>	The list of participating organisations is provided in Annex 1.
<b>Date of Production:</b>	13 November 2025



## Registration

The Zoom registration rooms opened at 8:00 CEST, where meeting participants entered the meeting. For Delegates, identification by ID or passport was required. Virtual registration ended at 10:00 CEST, and no late registration was allowed.

## Item 01: Welcome and opening (Information / 10')

EOSC-A President Klaus Tochtermann [KT] opened the meeting by welcoming 189 Members and Observers and the nearly 200 participants, and by confirming that the session was recorded. He thanked attendees for their participation and reminded everyone of the housekeeping rules regarding microphone use, voting procedures, and camera etiquette.

KT explained that today's General Assembly marked the start of a critical phase for the EOSC Association (EOSC-A), which had been mandated, as he noted, "to lead the build-up of the EOSC Federation" under the current Horizon Europe framework. This responsibility, he continued, underscored the Association's role in shaping the European Open Science Cloud's (EOSC) post-2027 positioning.

Recalling the **momentum of the previous General Assembly in Antwerp**, [where the boundary conditions for EOSC-A were formulated](#) and where members expressed readiness to assume a stronger coordinating role. KT observed that "this Assembly is the kick-off for defining EOSC's positioning beyond 2027".


KT elaborated on the European Commission's (EC) [recently released proposal](#) for the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) 2028–2034 and the next Framework Programme (FP10), highlighting that EOSC-A needed to assess where it fits best within these evolving instruments. Importantly, he reminded members that "we cannot talk about EOSC-specific funding instruments—these apply to all policy initiatives", and stressed that the Association's task was **to identify how EOSC could align strategically**.

KT concluded the item by expressing appreciation for the Secretariat's preparations and confirming that the meeting had reached quorum.

## Item 02: Adoption of draft agenda (Decision / open voting / 10')

KT presented the draft agenda and opened the floor for adoption. He announced that **quorum had been confirmed with 103 voting delegates present**. After calling for abstentions and objections, none were registered, and he declared the agenda adopted unanimously.

Following the formal adoption, the Assembly paused for the traditional group photo/screenshot.



## Item 03: Status update on Building-up the EOSC Federation (Information / 40’)

### Paper A – Status update on Building-up the EOSC Federation


Bob Jones [BJ], Co-Chair of the [EOSC Federation Build-up Group](#), delivered a comprehensive update referring to Paper A. He described significant progress since the previous GA, reporting that 13 first-wave candidate EOSC Nodes were now engaged in the Federation build-up, emphasizing the collaborative nature of this progress. BJ revealed that, **“Eight out of ten nodes are now enrolment-ready—that’s a major achievement”**, while noting that the other three candidate Nodes had onboarded resources to the EOSC EU Node (EEN).

The Build-up Group met seven times (including three face-to-face) and established nine subgroups spanning capabilities (Federated AAI; service catalogues interoperability), resources (data repositories; file sync & share), science (two multi-node workflows; sensitive health data), and governance (cybersecurity; MoU; Handbook revision). This organizational structure reflects the Federation’s first operational governance model, including, noted BJ, a documented set of procedural rules.

BJ explained the development of a draft **Memorandum of Understanding (MoU)**, led by Peter Maccallum of ELIXIR, describing it as a “mutual understanding of the roles and principles for interim collaboration”. The MoU is signed between each candidate Node and the EOSC Association, marking a new phase in the emerging governance framework of the Federation necessary to eventually replace the current build-up model.

Introducing the **second-wave Node enrolment criteria** agreed with the Tripartite, BJ highlighted deployment of two mandatory federating capabilities—Federated AAI and federated catalogues—supported by the EEN and its contractors, with six additional capabilities recommended for future sequencing. He noted the progress on the Federation’s six cross-node scientific use cases demonstrating value across multiple nodes, and the roll-out of a unified branding scheme, both to be showcased at the Symposium. BJ then called attention to the ongoing revision of the [EOSC Federation Handbook](#) to reflect practical lessons learned from the first-wave candidate Nodes, and expected to be released in December.

Addressing the role of the EEN, BJ addressed the significant risk that “if the [EOSC] EU Node stops, the Federation stops — we have **a governance gap** to address”, referring to the EEN’s critical dependence on EC-procured infrastructure. Affirming that the build-up has progressed very well, BJ stressed the inevitability of the establishment of “some sort of” Federation Support Office to ensure the long-term sustainability of the Federation. BJ alerted the membership that this must be addressed already in the impending governance discussions planned for the European Tripartite Event and EOSC-A GA#12 at the end of the year.



## Item 04: Short Progress Report (Information / 15')

### Paper B – Progress Report of President and Secretary General

KT and EOSC-A Secretary General Ute Gunsenheimer [UG] presented their short progress report referring to Paper B, summarizing the Association's recent achievements and upcoming priorities.

KT began by noting the **upcoming election** of three EOSC-A Board Directors at GA#12, and the open [call for candidates](#). UG then ran through EOSC-A's preparations for the [EOSC Symposium 2025](#), taking place 03-05 November in Brussels. After reviewing the high-level programme and keynote speakers, UG previewed the stage branding and called for any of the membership not yet registered to do so as soon as possible.

KT took up the presentation to emphasise the importance of the drafting of the EOSC Partnership's Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda (SRIA) 2.0, **tying it directly to the strategizing for a post-2027 governance and funding model** for EOSC. KT remarked that "we are moving from reflection to action in building EOSC's operational foundation", noting that EOSC-A's engagement with the Member States and EC had intensified since the previous GA. He emphasised the collaborative nature of the SRIA's revision, which is co-owned by EOSC-A and the EC, and which will be submitted for consultation to the membership.

KT thanked the membership for their collaboration in advancing the Federation's policy discussions and highlighted preparations for the 10 December GA#12, where strategic planning would be advanced.

UG then turned the focus to the EOSC-A-coordinated Horizon Europe projects, [EOSC Focus](#), [EOSC Gravity](#) and [EOSC United](#). The review of the completed EOSC Focus project was **overwhelmingly positive**, and UG drew a line of implementation from the review report's recommendations to the upcoming work in the follow-up projects Gravity and United.


UG then introduced three upcoming calls in **support of expanding the EOSC Federation** to be launched at the EOSC Symposium in November. Two of these provide cascading funds through EOSC Gravity for potential and current EOSC Federation Nodes, and the third, independent of the funding activities, invites submissions for the sequencing of the second wave of candidate EOSC Nodes by the EOSC Tripartite.

KT interjected that a request to extend the submission deadline for the three calls by two weeks would be taken up for consideration<sup>1</sup>.

Finally, UG introduced the January [2026 EOSC Winter School's](#) high-level programme, noting the meeting will take place in Nice, France; and concluded by highlighting the [recent launch](#) of the

---

<sup>1</sup> This extension has subsequently been granted, and the deadline for submissions will be 18 February 2026.



EOSC United project, which is focused on the uptake and sustainability of the EOSC EU Node in the larger context of the Federation.

## Coffee break (15')

### Item 05: What is in there for EOSC?

#### The EC's Legislative Proposals for 2028-2034 (Information / 45')

##### Paper C - EU Multi-annual Financial Framework 2028-2034 and related legislative proposals

### Presentation of Frameworks and Planned Instruments


Michael Arentoft [MA], Head of Unit, DG RTD/A.4, opened his presentation by thanking the EOSC Association for the invitation and emphasizing the EC's ongoing collaboration with the Association and its stakeholders. He clarified that the Commission's recent legislative proposals for the 2028–2034 period, including the MFF and FP10, were still in negotiation with Member States and the European Parliament. MA also referred to his Unit's participation in crafting the recent European Strategies on Research and Technology Infrastructures and on AI in Science, which contain several references to EOSC.

MA focused on Pillar IV under the FP10 proposal as a transversal priority, with an indicative budget of €16.2 billion, highlighting this change as particularly relevant for the future of EOSC. "EOSC must demonstrate that it is more than an infrastructure supporting excellent science", MA stressed, "it is part of an ecosystem of facilities and services for science, research and innovation users. "It must become a cornerstone of the European Research Area, where data and knowledge flow freely and sustainably across borders and thematic areas."

MA noted that the Commission proposal sets out priority areas and activities as well as a funding instruments framework to support these. The task for EOSC-A and its partners, Member States and other stakeholders, he noted, would be to position the EOSC initiative and Federation effectively within these activities and instruments - identifying where its objectives align with EU priorities such as Open Science, research data sovereignty, and research, technology and digital infrastructures.

MA highlighted several key features of the FP10 proposal:

- The introduction of a 4<sup>th</sup> Pillar focused on the European Research Area, with 'research and technology infrastructures' at its core.
- A stronger emphasis on strategic alignment between research and innovation activities under Horizon Europe and deployment activities under ECF, particularly around digitalization, green transition, and health.



- Simplified funding mechanisms to enhance continuity between successive framework programmes, reducing administrative burden.
- A shift towards a more strategic portfolio of European Partnerships that would encourage joint programming among Member States and the European Commission.

MA underlined that EOSC's federated model aligns naturally with the Commission's goals for cross-border data access and interoperability. However, he urged the Association to identify concrete ways to demonstrate impact and added value, particularly regarding sustainability, service integration, and community engagement.


MA went on to describe the changes to European Partnerships to be expected in the next Horizon Europe (FP10), and how these might impact EOSC's options for future governance and funding. He specifically outlined how the changes were expected to lead to a strategic and coherent portfolio of a limited number of Partnerships. Moreover, all partners would need to contribute financially to the Partnerships. "Partners other than the Union" would not be able to rely solely on in-kind contributions.

The new approach to Partnerships, noted MA, aims to create sustainable joint ownerships through "up-front" commitments of direct funding from parties.

### Panel Discussion

A half-hour panel discussion followed, moderated by EOSC-A Director Sara Garavelli [SG] and UG, structured by the following questions:

- Q1 for all: Short introduction: name, organisation, role in EOSC-A and short opening statement on how each stakeholder group perceives FP10 and the proposals for instruments currently on the table.
- Q2 for EC – What could be the role of the EOSC A in the future governance?
- Q3 for EOSC-A, Funders, RPOs: EOSC is a complex multistakeholder environment and for its success it is of paramount importance that the community is in the driving seat: How do you see the future of EOSC-A and how can we ensure that the voice of the community is being heard?
- Q4 for EC, EOSC-A, MOs, e-Infra, RIs: what is the future role of the EOSC Federation in relation to the funding instruments presented today?
- Q5 For the EC and EOSC-A: What can the community do to support this process relative to secure the necessary funding?





KT began the discussion by thanking MA for presenting the legislative context and underlined that EOSC-A's challenge is not to request a "special instrument", but to align EOSC strategically within the general instruments of FP10. He reiterated that the Federation model must demonstrate readiness, coherence, and measurable impact to qualify as an integral part of the European research and innovation landscape beyond 2027. EOSC-A's task, he said, was to define what governance, sustainability, community engagement and stakeholder coordination would look like within this evolving framework. KT also highlighted the need to clarify the role of the EU node and to strengthen the governance of the EOSC Federation so that it can evolve from a project driven structure to a long-term, sustainable infrastructure.

**Ludek Matyska** (CESNET) spoke from the perspective of the EOSC-A Mandated Organisations. He emphasized that national engagement is crucial for EOSC's success and that the proposed FP10 instruments must accommodate Member State participation and co-funding mechanisms. He stressed the importance of stable funding frameworks to support the technical and policy commitments that the Federation requires at the national level.


**Aneta Pazik-Aybar** (NCN), representing the EOSC-A Funders Forum, underlined the importance of ensuring alignment between national research funding policies and European-level priorities. She cautioned that without clear mechanisms linking EOSC activities to national funding schemes, sustainability risks would remain. She encouraged EOSC-A to engage funders collectively to define a coherent financial and policy framework for EOSC participation under FP10.

**Mattias Björnmalm** (CESAER), from the EOSC-A RPO Umbrella Organisations Forum, noted that research-performing organisations must see tangible benefits from participating in EOSC. He called for greater clarity on value propositions, governance representation, and incentives. He also stressed that the new FP10 instruments should not only fund infrastructure but also support adoption and skills development to ensure that researchers across Europe can fully utilize EOSC's services.

**Harald Schwalbe** (INSTRUCT-ERIC), representing ESFRI Research Infrastructures, emphasized the need for alignment and interoperability between EOSC and existing ESFRI clusters and data infrastructures. He welcomed the EC's recognition of integrated instruments but cautioned that EOSC must demonstrate that it complements rather than duplicates existing European investments. He also pointed to the importance of establishing technical and legal frameworks for data sharing, especially concerning sensitive scientific domains.

**Dale Robertson** (EGI Foundation), representing e-Infrastructures, reflected on the operational dimension of sustainability. She noted that while EOSC has made significant progress technically, long-term service provision will require clear funding continuity and governance accountability. She supported the development of a Federation Support Office as proposed earlier in the session and called for stronger coordination between policy, infrastructure, and user communities.

In addressing questions from the panel and delegates, MA emphasized the following points:



- In addition to Member States and EP deliberations, the Commission's proposals are open to suggestions for refinement through stakeholder feedback, and EOSC-A's contributions will be vital in shaping implementation details.
- The European Parliament and Member States will ultimately decide on budget allocations and the scope and priorities of research and technology infrastructures support under the next MFF.
- The Commission recognizes the maturity of EOSC and views it as a key enabler of European data space integration, but EOSC-A must prepare to operate within broader, horizontal funding frameworks rather than relying on dedicated instruments.

Responding to the panellists, MA welcomed the insights and reaffirmed that the Commission views EOSC as a strategic component of the infrastructures landscape and of the ERA. He noted that the FP10 negotiations would determine specific funding modalities but confirmed that federated and interoperable infrastructures like EOSC are aligned with the Commission's long-term objectives.

He encouraged EOSC-A to continue providing structured feedback and evidence on how the Federation supports cross-border and cross-domain collaboration, Open Science, and the FAIR data agenda. MA acknowledged that the proposed instruments would need to remain flexible enough to accommodate the diversity of European research ecosystems, including infrastructures at varying levels of maturity.


SG ended the discussion with a call-to-action, encouraging the EOSC-A membership to contribute to a consultation document before the end of October that would enable them to contribute their own responses to the same questions posed to the panel.

## Item 06: The Future of EOSC / Next Steps (Information / 15')

KT outlined the strategic next steps leading to the European Tripartite Event at the end of November and December's General Assembly #12.


Noting that today marks the beginning of shaping EOSC's future position, he invited all delegates to contribute substantively, reiterating that input from the membership will guide the next phase. He confirmed that the shared consultation document mentioned earlier would be made available immediately following this meeting to gather additional input from the membership.

KT reminded the GA that the **second wave of EOSC Federation Node enrolments** would open at the EOSC Symposium, encouraging the membership to engage with their national authorities in order to promote participation among national and thematic infrastructures: "Without a successful EOSC Federation, there will be no EOSC."





On the topic of stakeholder engagement, KT recounted his contribution to [a panel discussion on 07 October](#) with members of the European Parliament, where he positioned EOSC as the central European infrastructure for research, through which data sovereignty in Europe can be ensured.



## Item 07: AOB (15')


KT opened the floor for additional comments.

Sanna Ulfsparre, the delegate from Sweden's Mandated Organisation, the National Research Council, asked if EOSC-A, as a member of the Tripartite, would have a role in selecting the preferred EC funding instrument for a future EOSC. KT responded that it was too early to advocate on the national level for any particular instrument because we do not yet know what any of the instruments will be, but that **the vital role of the Association** has been today confirmed by MA.

Tiziana Ferrari of the EGI Foundation advocated for a rolling enrolment structure for the expansion of the EOSC Federation over the wave approach. KT explained that this was precisely the plan, but that the capacity of the Federation at the moment limited the number of Nodes that could be brought in at one time.


## Item 08: Closing

KT closed the meeting by thanking everyone for their participation, noting that in future online meetings live interaction will be prioritised over chat-based interactions.




## Annex 1: List of participants


Last Name	First Name	Organisation
Agasøster	Bodil	ESS ERIC - European Social Survey European Research Infrastructure Consortium
Alvarez-Romero	Celia	Fundación Pública Andaluza para la Gestión de la Investigación en Salud de Sevilla - Institute of Biomedicine of Seville
Al-Zoubi	Raed	Arab States Research and Education Network (ASREN)
Anderberg	Sabina	Stockholm University
Anglada	Lluís	Consorci de Serveis Universitaris de Catalunya
Antol	Matej	Masaryk University
Ardagna	Danilo	Politecnico di Milano
Armstrong	Margaret	EOSC Association
Athenodorou	Andreas	The Cyprus Institute (Cyl)
Augustus	Ron	Coöperatie SURF u.a.
Awan	Haneef	Universitetet i Oslo
Ayris	Paul	League of European Research Universities (LERU)
Barbu	Dragoș-Cătălin	ICI Bucuresti
Bartczuk	Rafał	European Paediatric Translational Research Infrastructure (EPTRI)
Beckmann	Volker	EOSC Steering Board
Bello	Silvia	Università del Piemonte Orientale
Blanquer	Ignacio	EOSC-A / UPV
Boavida	Clara	Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (Iscte)
Boehme	Olivier	Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Vlaanderen (Research Foundation Flanders)
Bohuslavová	Olga	Masaryk University
Bonac	Marko	Arnes
Both	Joeri	OpenAIRE
Bozzi	Concezio	Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN)
Cabrerizo Padilla	Patricia	EOSC Association
Cabrero	Patricia	Universidad Internacional Isabel I de Castilla
Cacciaguerra	Stefano	National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology
Caetano	Isabel	EOSC Association
Campanella	Mauro	Consortium GARR
Candela	Leonardo	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR)
Cebecauer	Marek	J. Heyrovsky Institute of Physical Chemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences
Cecconi	Baptiste	Observatoire de Paris - PSL




Chambers	Sally	Digital Research Infrastructure for the Arts and Humanities (DARIAH-EU)
Clare	Helen	Jisc LBG
Collot	Mélanie	EOSC Association
Correa	Ricardo	European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
D'Altri	Teresa	Fundacio Centre de Regulacio Genomica (Centre for Genomic Regulation)
Damiano	Rossana	University of Turin
De Bal	Atimene	euroCRIS
De Giacomo	Ornela	CERIC-ERIC
de Luca	Mariarita	Area Science Park
De Wever	Aaike	Research Institute for Nature and Forest (INBO)
Decroix	Gaelle	CEA
Delfino	Ines	University Of Tuscia
Deriemaecker	Niels	Belnet
Didou	Yasmine	EOSC Association
Dillo	Ingrid	Data Archiving and Networked Services (DANS)
Dominguez Del Angel	Victoria	Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et Automatique
Drascic Capar	Martina	Consortium of European Social Science Data Archives - CESSDA
Eeckhout	Jerome	Conseil des recteurs
El Khouri	Laurence	National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS)
Fahrner	Sven	Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH
Feldman	Adina	Karolinska Institutet
Ferguson	Nicolas	Trust-it
Fernandez	Carlos	Fundacion Publica Gallega Centro Tecnológico de Supercomputación de Galicia
Fink	Anne Sofie	Danish e-Infrastructure Consortium (DeiC)
Fischer	Lars	NORDUnet A/S
Florio	Licia	NORDUnet
Forni	Monica	Univeristy of Bologna
Fuhrmann	Patrick	Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY
Gaillard	Vinciane	European University Association (EUA)
Garijo	Daniel	Universidad Politécnica de Madrid
Garnier-Rizet	Martine	The French National Research Agency
Gåseidnes	Sigrid	Sikt - Norwegian Agency for Shared Services in Education and Research
Gharbi	Nebras	Institut national de la santé et de la recherche médicale / National Institute for Health and Medical Research
Gheorghe	Dana Violeta	University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest



Giorgetti	Alessandra	Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale
Glauner	Annika	ETH Zürich
Golub	Korajka	Linneus University
Gonzalez Lopez	Jose Benito	European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN)
González-García	Juan	Aragonese Institute of Health Sciences (IACS)
Grakova	Ekaterina	Technical University of Ostrava
Grimm	Christian	Verein zur Förderung eines Deutschen Forschungsnetzes (DFN)
Guba	Beate	Technische Universität Wien (TU Wien)
Gunsenheimer	Ute	EOSC Association
Häfner	Claudia	Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH
Haley	Natalie	Instruct Integrated Structural Biology Infrastructure in Europe
Hammargren	Per-Olov	Uppsala University
Hasani-Mavriqi	Ilire	ACONET Verein
Hoefler	Anna Maria	ZBW - Leibniz Information Center for Economics
Irimia	Alina	Unitatea Executiva pentru Finantarea - Invatamantului Superior, a Cercetarii, Dezvoltarii si Inovarii (UEFISCDI)
Jakobsson	Ulf	University of Gothenburg
Jeney	Sylvia	Swiss National Science Foundation
Johnson	Mark	Institute Max von Laue-Paul Langevin (ILL)
Kadarne Kelemen	Ildikó	Professional Mobile and Network Service Provider (Pro-M)
Kalisch	Mateusz	Łukasiewicz Research Network – Institute of Innovative Technologies EMAG
Klein Ikkink	Vincent	CESAER
Klemenčič	Brina	EOSC Association
Klug	Helmut	University of Graz (UniGraz)
Kok	Ruben	Stichting Health-RI
Korbel	Jan	European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL)
Koumantaros	Konstantinos	National Infrastructures for Research and Technology - GRNET S.A.
Kudsk	Gitte Julin	Danish e-Infrastructure Consortium (DeiC)
Kuźma	Marta	Gdańsk University of Technology
Lavitrano	MARIALUISA	Università Milano Bicocca
Le Franc	Yann	EUDAT
Lechantre	Guillaume	Grand Equipement National de Calcul Intensif
Leporati	Alberto	Università Milano Bicocca
Libaers	Yann	EOSC Association
Lindell	Kristoffer	UMEA University
Löbe	Matthias	European Federation for Medical Informatics
Lozano	Helena	Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC)




Lübke	Nils-Christian	Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH
Lutz	Jean-Francois	Université de Lorraine
Maes	Elisa	Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Maier	Tanja	GÉANT Association
Mallmann	Daniel	Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH
Maric	Ivan	University of Zagreb University Computing Centre
Marshall	David	Instruct Integrated Structural Biology Infrastructure in Europe
Massol	Marion	National Research Institute for Agriculture, Food and Environment (INRAE)
Mathur	Aastha	Euro-Biolmaging ERIC
Matyska	Luděk	CESNET
Meijer	Sebastiaan	Royal Institute of Technology (KTH)
Mendes Moreira	João	Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT)
Mergen	Patricia	Agentschap Meise Botanic Garden
Mishev	Anastas	University Ss Cyril and Methodius in Skopje, Faculty of Computer Science and Engineering (FCSE-UKIM)
Mohacsi	Janos	Professional Mobile and Network Service Provider (Pro-M)
Nardello	Ilaria	EOSC Association
Niemi-Grundström	Minna	University of Helsinki (UH)
Noro	Jorge	University of Coimbra
Nurmi	Niina	University of Helsinki (UH)
Oliveira	João Pedro	Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (Iscte)
Oort	Frans	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Otsu	Kaori	Centro de Investigación Ecológica y Aplicaciones Forestales
Ounsy	Majid	Synchrotron SOLEIL
Pansanel	Jerome	University of Strasbourg
Paredes Cisneros	Isabela	European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL)
Parra Calderón	Carlos Luis	European Federation for Medical Informatics
Pastore	Serena	Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica - National Institute for Astrophysics
Paulsen	Steinar	The University of Tromsø – The Arctic University of Norway (UiT)
Pazik-Aybar	Aneta	National Science Centre
Perez Gomez	Alberto	Entidad pública empresarial Red.es
Pericàs Pulido	Pau	Illes Balears Health Research Institute Foundation (IdISBa)
Petrauskaitė	Rūta	Vytautas Magnus University (VMU)
Petrauskienė	Zibute	Vilnius University (VU)
Piasini	Eugenio	Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati (SISSA)
Ploomipuu	Ave	University of Tartu





Poveda	Lucy	Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (SIB)
Presser	Karl	Premotec GmbH
Preziosa	Elena	BBMRI-ERIC
Protopopova-Kakar	Valentina	Nationale Forschungsdateninfrastruktur (NFDI) e.V.
Proykova	Ana	Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski"
Quesneville	Hadi	National Research Institute for Agriculture, Food and Environment (INRAE)
Rank	Sven	Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH
Reichmann	Stefan	Graz University of Technology
Rey Mazón	Miguel	Graz University of Technology
Robertson	Dale	EGI Foundation
Rod	Thomas	European Spallation Source (ESS)
Rohden	Jan	Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG - German Research Foundation)
Romaniello	Martino	European Organisation for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere (ESO)
Ruda	Miroslav	Masaryk University
SABATINO	ROBERTO	HEAnet CLG
Sanchez	Barbara	Technische Universität Wien (TU Wien)
Sandquist	Erik	University of Bergen (UiB)
Sarracco	Eleonora	European Paediatric Translational Research Infrastructure (EPTRI)
Saurugger	Bernd	Technische Universität Wien (TU Wien)
Schmidt	Birgit	Georg-August-Universität Göttingen Stiftung Öffentlichen Rechts
Schwalbe	Harald	Instruct Integrated Structural Biology Infrastructure in Europe
Serjeant	Stephen	The Open University
Sesink	Laurents	Coöperatie SURF u.a.
Sigloch	Sebastian	SWITCH
Sjöström	Anders	Lund University (LU)
Söderberg	Jonas	Uppsala University
Spooren	Pieter	Universiteit Antwerpen
Stocker	Markus	TIB Leibniz Information Centre for Science and Technology
Stover	Cathrin	GÉANT Association
Streit	Achim	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology
Strobbe	Francis	Royal Belgian institute of Natural Sciences (IRScNB – KBIN)
Svistunov	Sergiy	Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics of the National Academy of Science of Ukraine
Swiatek Cassafieres	Cecile	Universite Paris Nanterre (UPN)
Taloni	Maria	CNR



Teperek	Marta	The Dutch Research Council (NWO)
Thiemann	Hannes	DKRZ - Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum GmbH
Thirion	Paul	Conseil des Recteurs
Tierney	James	EOSC Association
Tonello	Nadia	Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC)
Torras-Calvo	Maria-Carme	University of Bergen (UiB)
Türk	Andreas	BBMRI-ERIC
Ulfsparre	Sanna Isabel	Vetenskapsrådet: The Swedish Research Council
Unge	Lenka	EOSC Association
Utkā	Andrius	Vytautas Magnus University (VMU)
van de Sanden	Mark	GÉANT Association
Vevera	Adrian-Victor	ICI Bucuresti
Vidlund	Linda	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU)
Volinia	Stefano	Università degli Studi di Ferrara
Vudragovic	Dusan	Institute of Physics Belgrade
Walter	Nicolas	European Science Foundation
Wardemann	Rasmus Kvaal	The Research Council of Norway
Winkler	Kathrin	Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG - German Research Foundation)
Witkowska	Iza	Coöperatie SURF u.a.
Witzanyová	Nad'a	The Extreme Light Infrastructure ERIC
Wolf	Wilma	Nationale Forschungsdateninfrastruktur (NFDI) e.V.
Wolff	Russel	Universitetet i Oslo
Xemantilotou	Eirini	Instruct Integrated Structural Biology Infrastructure in Europe
Xhelaj	Arjan	Rrjeti Akademik Shqiptar
Yeomans	Joanne	Technische Universiteit Delft (TU Delft)
Zachar	Ondrej	Slovak Centre of Scientific and Technical Information
Zavala-Rojas	Diana	ESS ERIC - European Social Survey European Research Infrastructure Consortium

