

FAIR metrics & data quality

Questions posted on Slido

- What should be the impact metrics for datasets? Are citations / views / downloads the only ones?
- Will the slides be shared in open formats? Or do we ask FAIRness only from researchers? :-)
- Is the value of the data connected to the quality of the data? For example, nationally significant datasets.
- How can we join the efforts of DFG related to the NFDI data sheet?
- Thoughts on the finding the right amount of efforts for making your data Fair and reusable when potential future reuse cases is in the dark
- A couple of decades ago, circa, scientists published methods along the results. Then metrics happened. How can universities ignore metrics for KPIs and hiring?
- Great idea to discuss case by case how researchers dealt with FAIRness. How do you scale this though, for general policy monitoring?
- If we don't change evaluation of scientists for their career forget about "fair". The best career criterium is to be an egoistic soziopat.
- What is your stake regarding peer-reviewing research data as a default? And where: open peer review, internal peer review, within paper peer review...?
- Which ERC grant winner has built her/his career on FAIR research?
- At our institute we made a data publication a "countable" research publication. That was a good step
- Good point Carol: we should enable "unconscious competence" for FAIR. People don't have to be experts on it all, FAIR should be a side effect of good research.
- Adding more resources to data management is great, but how do we make sure folks other than data managers - PIs, technicians, researchers, ... - embrace FAIR
- Original FAIR paper focused on machine-actionability. It seems we are ignoring this viewpoint in discussion on "what FAIR means in community X". Why?
- What should the EOSC do in terms of FAIRness and data quality?
- Or the question should be: "What can FAIRness and data quality do for EOSC?" 😊